

## CREDIT OPINION

4 September 2020

New Issue

✓ Rate this Research

### Contacts

Coley J Anderson +1.312.706.9961  
AVP-Analyst  
coley.anderson@moodys.com

David Levett +1.312.706.9990  
VP-Senior Analyst  
david.levett@moodys.com

### CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653  
Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077  
Japan 81-3-5408-4100  
EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

# Oak Park (Village of) IL

## Update to credit analysis

### Summary

The [Village of Oak Park, IL's](#) (A1) credit profile will continue to benefit from its large tax base adjacent to [Chicago](#) (Ba1 stable), high resident income and substantial revenue raising authority as a [State of Illinois](#) (Baa3 stable) home rule unit of government. These credit strengths are balanced against an elevated pension burden and high fixed costs to service debt, pension and other post employment benefit (OPEB) liabilities that consume around 30% of revenues annually.

The village's mature tax base is largely residential with a small commercial sector (9% of full valuation). Full valuation had been growing over the last several years driven by appreciation of existing residential property, and some new development and redevelopment. Additional growth had been expected because of recent residential and mixed use developments and a reassessment, but may be moderated by the coronavirus pandemic. Resident income indices are high compared to the nation supported by a swath of well paying jobs throughout the metro area. Unemployment has spiked across the country as a result of the coronavirus. As of June 2020, the village's unemployment rate was on par with the state's figure and slightly higher than the national average.

The village's financial position has steadily improved over the last several years and is expected to remain adequate despite expectations for a coronavirus driven reserve draw in fiscal 2020. At the close of fiscal 2019, operating reserves had improved to nearly 25% of operating revenue. Despite substantial mid-year adjustments to expenditures, disruptions to economically sensitive revenue are driving a projected \$3 million to \$5 million in reserves. If the draw is fully realized, reserves would still remain above where they were a couple of years ago. The village had originally planned for economically sensitive revenue to decline 25% to 50%, but subsequently revised the estimates based on actual receipts and growth in use taxes generated from online sales, to a 15% to 25% decline compared with the original budget. The projections are conservative given that from May through July receipts in fiscal 2020 compared with fiscal 2019 have thus far declined by 11%. Beyond fiscal 2020, the village intends to balance the budget with additional expense cuts if the revenue environment does not improve. Management has identified specific expenditure reductions that could be implemented even under very stressed revenue scenarios. Operations and liquidity remain strong within the water and sewer enterprises, while the parking enterprise is expecting an operating deficit of approximately \$1 million because of lower parking volume. Across all enterprises there is a strong cushion with net cash totaling \$15.2 million, a sound 291 days of operations in fiscal 2019.

The village sizes its public safety pension plan contributions to achieve a 100% funded ratio by 2040, a target that slightly exceed state minimum contributions. Still in fiscal 2019, fire and police pension plan contributions were equivalent to 91% and 95% of tread water<sup>1</sup>, respectively. Across those two plans the treadwater shortfall was less than \$1 million, equal to approximately 1% of revenue. The village uses a slightly lower discount rate than most local governments at 6.75%. All else equal, a lower discount increases the amount a local government would need to contribute to reach tread water. The village's debt burden is moderate and there are no material borrowings planned over the next several years.

We regard the coronavirus outbreak as a social risk under our ESG framework, given the substantial implications for public health and safety. We do not see any material immediate credit risks for the village. However, the situation surrounding coronavirus is rapidly evolving and the longer term impact will depend on both the severity and duration of the crisis. If our view of the credit quality of the city changes, we will update our opinion at that time.

### Credit strengths

- » Large tax base near Chicago
- » Above average resident income and wealth
- » Strong revenue raising flexibility as an Illinois home rule unit of government

### Credit challenges

- » High and growing pension burden
- » Exposure to economically sensitive revenues, with recession driven declines causing budget gaps

### Rating outlook

Outlooks are typically not assigned to local governments with this amount of debt.

### Factors that could lead to an upgrade

- » Sustained improvement in operating reserves and liquidity
- » Moderation of long term leverage and fixed costs

### Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Growth in leverage or fixed costs
- » Narrowing of fund balance or operating liquidity beyond current expectations for fiscal 2020

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

## Key indicators

Exhibit 1

### Key Indicators for Oak Park (Village of) IL

|   | 2015        | 2016        | 2017        | 2018        | 2019        |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| <b>Economy/Tax Base</b>                         |             |             |             |             |             |
| Total Full Value (\$000)                        | \$4,153,171 | \$4,007,332 | \$4,164,125 | \$4,972,301 | \$4,782,301 |
| Population                                      | 52,080      | 51,989      | 52,229      | 52,225      | 51,878      |
| Full Value Per Capita                           | \$79,746    | \$77,080    | \$79,728    | \$95,209    | \$92,184    |
| Median Family Income (% of US Median)           | 172.6%      | 181.7%      | 182.4%      | 181.5%      | 181.5%      |
| <b>Finances</b>                                 |             |             |             |             |             |
| Operating Revenue (\$000)                       | \$55,728    | \$59,687    | \$62,368    | \$67,339    | \$69,834    |
| Fund Balance (\$000)                            | \$5,865     | \$10,768    | \$13,927    | \$17,371    | \$16,870    |
| Cash Balance (\$000)                            | \$1,289     | \$6,836     | \$11,968    | \$12,064    | \$15,194    |
| Fund Balance as a % of Revenues                 | 10.5%       | 18.0%       | 22.3%       | 25.8%       | 24.2%       |
| Cash Balance as a % of Revenues                 | 2.3%        | 11.5%       | 19.2%       | 17.9%       | 21.8%       |
| <b>Debt/Pensions</b>                            |             |             |             |             |             |
| Net Direct Debt (\$000)                         | \$80,822    | \$101,597   | \$108,472   | \$97,180    | \$87,506    |
| 3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)          | \$255,657   | \$297,751   | \$321,759   | \$315,815   | \$327,505   |
| Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)                | 1.9%        | 2.5%        | 2.6%        | 2.0%        | 1.8%        |
| Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)        | 1.5x        | 1.7x        | 1.7x        | 1.4x        | 1.3x        |
| Moody's - ANPL (3-yr average) to Full Value (%) | 6.2%        | 7.4%        | 7.7%        | 6.4%        | 6.8%        |
| Moody's - ANPL (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)   | 4.6x        | 5.0x        | 5.2x        | 4.7x        | 4.7x        |

Source: Audited financial statements, US Census Bureau, Moody's Investors Service

## Profile

The Village of Oak Park lies along Chicago's western border and is home to an estimated population of 52,100. The village provides a variety of services to its residents including water and sewer services and public safety.

## Legal security

The district's GOULT debt, including the current issuances are secured by an all available funds pledge and a dedicated property tax levy unlimited as to rate or amount.

## ESG considerations

### Environmental

Environmental considerations are not material to the district's credit profile. According to data of Moody's affiliate, Four Twenty Seven, Cook County is at relatively high risk for extreme rainfall and heat stress compared to counties nationally. One of the primary impacts of heat is on agricultural production, which is not an economic driver for the village. Cook County also has relatively high exposure to extreme rainfall events compared to counties nationally. Flooding throughout the county is expected to be relieved by the Tunnel and Reservoir Plan (TARP) currently underway through the [Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago](#) (Aa2 stable).

### Social

Social considerations for the village including a large tax base with high resident income and low poverty within the Chicago metropolitan region. In response to the coronavirus pandemic, the village made mid year expenditure reductions approximating \$17 million or nearly 25% of the village's total budget. Economically sensitive revenue including but not limited to sales taxes, state shared income taxes and licenses and permits which accounts for roughly half of village operating revenue and had been growing steadily year over year. However, corona driven declines, which had been projected as severe as 25% to 50% declines are now expected to be down closer to 15% to 25%. Comparisons of sales tax receipts over the last three months compared to the same period over the prior year depicts declines in sales tax receipts of a more moderate 11%.

Social factors are incorporated into the district's rating by way of wealth (full value per capita) and income (median family income) metrics. Resident income indices are just below the national median and have increased each of the last three years. We consider the coronavirus outbreak to represent a social risk under our ESG framework, given the substantial implications for public health and safety.

## Governance

Illinois cities have an institutional framework score <sup>2</sup> of "A", which is moderate. Revenue-raising ability is moderate overall but varies considerably. Non-home rule entities are subject to tax rate limitations. In addition, total operating tax yield for entities subject to the Property Extension Limitation Law (PELL) is capped to the lesser of 5% or CPI growth, plus new construction. Home rule entities like Oak Park have much greater legal flexibility than the rest of the sector with substantial revenue-raising authority.

Revenue predictability is moderate, with varying dependence on property, sales, and state-distributed income taxes. Expenditures are moderately predictable but cities have limited ability to reduce them given strong public sector unions and pension benefits that enjoy strong constitutional protections. Fixed costs are driven mainly by debt service and employer pension contributions. For single employer public safety plans, the State of Illinois requires most entities to make annual pension contributions that cover current benefit accruals, plus an amount designed to achieve a 90% funded ratio by 2040. The village currently targets a 100% funded ratio by 2040. The village adheres to its fund balance policy that calls for the maintenance of reserves between 10% and 20% of annual operating revenue.

## Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a local government's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare local government credits.

### Oak Park (Village of) IL

| Scorecard Factors and Subfactors   | Measure                     | Score |
|--|-----------------------------|-------|
| <b>Economy/Tax Base (30%)<sup>[1]</sup></b>  |                             |       |
| Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)  | \$5,082,497                 | Aa    |
| Full Value Per Capita  | \$97,970                    | Aa    |
| Median Family Income (% of US Median)  | 181.5%                      | Aaa   |
| <b>Finances (30%)</b>  |                             |       |
| Fund Balance as a % of Revenues  | 24.2%                       | Aa    |
| 5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues                                | 15.6%                       | Aa    |
| Cash Balance as a % of Revenues  | 21.8%                       | Aa    |
| 5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues                                | 21.7%                       | Aa    |
| <b>Management (20%)</b>  |                             |       |
| Institutional Framework  | A                           | A     |
| Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures     | 1.0x                        | Baa   |
| <b>Notching Factors:<sup>[2]</sup></b>   |                             |       |
| Other Scorecard Adjustment Related to Management: home rule unit of local government |                             | Up    |
| <b>Debt and Pensions (20%)</b>   |                             |       |
| Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)   | 2.0%                        | A     |
| Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)   | 1.4x                        | A     |
| 3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)            | 6.4%                        | Baa   |
| 3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)    | 4.7x                        | Baa   |
|  | Scorecard-Indicated Outcome | Aa3   |
|  | Assigned Rating             | A1    |

[1] Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available.

[2] Notching Factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology dated December 16, 2016.

[3] Standardized adjustments are outlined in the latest GO Methodology Scorecard Inputs publication.

Source: Issuer's audited financial statements; US Census Bureau

## Endnotes

- 1 We measure the extent to which contributions may be sufficient to keep unfunded liabilities from rising under plan assumptions with our "tread water" indicator. Contributions that tread water, using the local government pension plans' own discount rate assumptions, will keep unfunded pension liabilities from growing as long as other plan assumptions hold.
- 2 The institutional framework score assesses a municipality's legal ability to match revenues with expenditures based on its constitutionally and legislatively conferred powers and responsibilities. See [US Local Government General Obligation Debt \(July 2020\)](#) methodology report for more details.

© 2020 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

## CLIENT SERVICES

|              |                 |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Americas     | 1-212-553-1653  |
| Asia Pacific | 852-3551-3077   |
| Japan        | 81-3-5408-4100  |
| EMEA         | 44-20-7772-5454 |